Date of Hearing: April 17, 2024

ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON UTILITIES AND ENERGY Cottie Petrie-Norris, Chair AB 2256 (Friedman) – As Amended April 1, 2024

SUBJECT: Net energy metering

SUMMARY: Requires the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to revise, as appropriate, the net energy metering (NEM) tariff, to include – among other considerations – ensuring the tariff is based on the cost of service analysis and the total benefits, including nonenergy benefits (as defined), of the NEM facility. Explicitly states the CPUC is not required to ensure nonparticipating ratepayer indifference in implementing this bill.

EXISTING LAW:

- 1) Requires every electric utility, defined to include electrical corporations, local publicly owned electric utilities, and electrical cooperatives, to develop a standard contract or tariff for NEM, for generation by a renewable electrical generation facility, and to make this contract or tariff available to eligible customer-generators, upon request on a first-come-first-served basis until the time that the total rated generating capacity used by eligible customer generators exceeds five percent of the electric utility's aggregate customer peak demand. (Public Utilities Code § 2827)
- 2) Requires the CPUC, for a large electrical corporation, as defined, to have developed a second standard contract or tariff to provide NEM to additional eligible customergenerators in the electrical corporation's service territory and imposes no limitation on the number of new eligible customer-generators entitled to receive service pursuant to this second standard contract or tariff. (Public Utilities Code § 2827.1)
- 3) Requires the CPUC to ensure that the second standard contract or tariff made available to eligible customer-generators by large electrical corporations ensures that customer-sited renewable distributed generation continues to grow sustainably. Requires the CPUC, in developing this standard contract or tariff, to include specific alternatives designed for growth among residential customers in disadvantaged communities. (Public Utilities Code § 2827.1(b)(1))
- 4) Establishes the policy that all of the state's retail electricity be supplied with a mix of RPS-eligible and zero-carbon resources by December 31, 2045, and 100% of electricity procured to serve all state agencies by December 31, 2035, for a total of 100% clean energy. Requires the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC), in consultation with the California Energy Commission (CEC), California Air Resources Board (CARB), and all California balancing authorities, to issue a joint report to the Legislature by January 1, 2021, reviewing and evaluating the 100% clean energy policy. (Public Utilities Code § 454.53)

FISCAL EFFECT: Unknown. This bill is keyed fiscal and will be referred to the Committee on Appropriations for its review.

BACKGROUND:

Net Energy Metering (NEM) – California's NEM program started in 1997, prompted by SB 656 (Alquist, Chapter 369, Statutes of 1995). It allows customers who install eligible renewable electrical generation facilities to serve onsite energy needs and receive credits on their electric bills for surplus energy sent to the electric grid. Most customer-sited, grid-connected solar in California is interconnected through NEM tariffs. Enrollment in the first NEM program, now colloquially known as "NEM 1.0", continued and was phased out between 2016 and 2017.

The Legislature called for the revision of NEM 1.0 per AB 327 (Perea, Chapter 611, Statutes of 2013) primarily to address the cost associated with the full retail credits available under the tariff. The CPUC responded with what is commonly referred to as NEM 2.0 in 2016. Customers taking service under that tariff – NEM 2.0 – pay the cost to connect to the grid; take service on a "time-of-use" rate plan; and pay "non-bypassable" charges that are not offset with surplus energy credits. On August 27, 2020, the CPUC initiated Rulemaking 20-08-020 to develop a successor to the NEM 2.0 tariff, as part of the requirement in statute and a commitment in a previous decision to review the current tariff to address the shift in costs to nonparticipating customers. The CPUC released a proposed decision in December 2021. However, the final decision was delayed while the CPUC considered party comments and evaluated alternatives. On December 15, 2022 the CPUC adopted a new decision establishing the NBT, or colloquially NEM 3.0.2

The NBT applied to customers who submit an interconnection application on or after April 15, 2023. The NBT made a number of changes from NEM 2.0, replacing export compensation tied to the retail rate with the avoided cost calculator, and financially incentivizing customers to install battery storage paired with their solar. Moreover, the NBT decision did not affect existing rooftop solar customers; those legacy NEM 1.0 and NEM 2.0 customers remain on their tariff. The NBT decision also did not include any charges unique to solar customers (despite early draft decisions doing that). The result of these changes led to a drop in the compensation rooftop solar customers will receive, increasing the payback period to 9 years.³

According to the CPUC, as of 2021, the NEM program had enabled 1.3 million customer installations, equating to roughly 10 gigawatts (GWs) of customer-sited renewable generation,

¹ See *Decision Revising Net Energy Metering and Subtariffs*, CPUC, December 13, 2021, at: https://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/Efile/G000/M430/K903/430903088.PDF

² D. 22-12-056

³ CPUC, "Fact Sheet: Modernizing NEM to Meet California's Reliability and Climate Goals;" November 10, 2022. https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/-/media/cpuc-website/divisions/energy-division/documents/net-energy-metering-nem/nemrevisit/final-fact-sheet-nem.pdf

almost all of which is rooftop solar. Now, NEM systems reduce the demand on the electric grid by as much as 25% during midday when the sun is shining.⁴

COMMENTS:

- 1) Author's Statement. According to the author, "AB 2256 requires the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to consider the total costs and benefits of rooftop solar, including "non-energy benefits" which are environmental or public health benefits of rooftop solar that accrue to society as a whole, including improved local air and water quality, and avoided land use impacts. The CPUC currently only considers some economic benefits of rooftop solar. As NEM is not simply a rate structure but rather, a far-reaching policy initiative with goals and outcomes that will shape the future of clean energy and climate change in California, it is critical for policy makers to consider all relevant information in re-designing the tariff that has proven integral to meet our climate goals."
- 2) *The Cost Shift*. The controversy associated with NEM is that the customers with NEM (most of whom have roof-top solar) are subsidized by customers without NEM (i.e. "non-participants"). Extensive study has occurred for several years describing and categorizing the cost shift. According to a recent report by the Public Advocates Office (PAO), the annual cost of NEM on non-participants has approximately doubled since 2021, resulting in an estimated \$6.5 billion for the program in 2024 alone. For reference, PAO notes the cost of NEM was approximately \$3.4 billion in 2021.

The CPUC in its annual utility cost report has noted "three critical and overlapping policy fronts must be actively managed to address the risk of high electric rates." These include ballooning wildfire expenses, the need to ensure low-income customers benefit from electrification, and the need to mitigate cost shifts from DER incentives.⁶

Supporters of this bill, however, note the "so-called 'cost-shift' is a utility fabrication." They raise issue with the use of retail energy rates in the calculations used by PAO and others to quantify the cost shift. Instead, they point to "cost of service" as a better metric. A 2021 analysis commissioned by the CPUC examined NEM cost shifts through the cost of service metric. ⁷ The study notes, "Comparing estimates of bills and cost of service prior to the installation of NEM-eligible technologies to the post-installation values, however, will provide evidence of whether the installation of NEM-eligible technologies

⁴ CPUC Fact Sheet; "Modernizing California's Net Energy Metering Program to Meet our Clean Energy Goals." December 13, 2021.

⁵ CalPAO, "Rooftop solar incentive to cost customers without solar an estimated \$6.5 billion in 2024." February 28, 2024.

⁶ Pg. 17, CPUC, 2022 Senate Bill 695 Report, May 2022. https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/-/media/cpuc-website/divisions/office-of-governmental-affairs-division/reports/2022/2022-sb-695-report.pdf

⁷ Verdant, NEM 2.0 Lookback Study; July 21, 2021. https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/-/media/cpuc-website/divisions/energy-division/documents/net-energy-metering-nem/nem-evaluation/nem-2_lookback_study.pdf

is causing cost shifts." The study found that "residential NEM customers' aggregate utility bills were substantially less than their cost of service," resulting in non-participating ratepayers seeing increased rates. ¹⁰

3) Nonenergy Benefits. This bill seeks to examine the cost shift calculation even further by requiring the CPUC, as appropriate, to revise the NEM tariff to not only a cost of service basis but also the total benefits, including "quantifiable" nonenergy benefits of the solar system. The bill then defines nonenergy benefits as metrics that may be difficult to quantify. For example, some land-use benefits are listed, including improved water quality and quantity, and the protection or preservation of open-space resources and wildlife habitats. It is unclear whether there is an agreed upon standard or valuation for these nonenergy benefits upon which the CPUC could draw, or if the bill seeks the CPUC to develop the monetary amounts for each. The latter could be highly ambiguous and variable.

In 2019, the CPUC ordered the testing of a Societal Cost Test (SCT) in the Integrated Resource Planning (IRP) proceeding. ¹¹ The testing of an SCT was assigned to the IRP model so that the impact of societal costs on both supply and demand side resource procurement could be considered. An SCT is one of the five cost tests envisioned in the California Standard Practice Manual and used for evaluating DER. The IRP scenario incorporated the central estimates for all societal cost components, including the social cost of carbon, air quality impacts, methane leakage, and a social discount rate. The evaluation found the addition of the SCT results in "minimal changes to the supply-side portfolio," and minimal changes to the cost-effectiveness of DERs. ¹² In other words, when the SCT was applied across all resource types, DERs were not selected.

In their March 13, 2024, Business Meeting, the CEC opened an order instituting informational proceeding to serve as a forum to discuss nonenergy benefits. They also held a joint agency workshop on Tuesday, April 16, 2024, to discuss the approach and implications of examining nonenergy benefits for resource selection in the SB 100 Report.¹³ It is unclear to committee at this time which metrics are included in the nonenergy benefit evaluation at the CEC versus those listed in this measure versus those included in the SCT.

These efforts highlight the challenge in evaluating the cost-effectiveness or societal benefits of a particular resource. Certainly, the absence of adequate accounting of costs and benefits can distort energy planning; but so can the inclusion of too vague or too

⁸ Pg. 95, Verdant, *NEM 2.0 Lookback Study;* July 21, 2021. https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/-/media/cpuc-website/divisions/energy-division/documents/net-energy-metering-nem/nem-evaluation/nem-2_lookback_study.pdf ⁹ *Ibid.*

¹⁰ Pg. 1, *Ibid*.

¹¹ D. 19-05-019

¹² Pg. 5, CPUC, "Societal Cost Test Impact Evaluation," January 2022.

¹³ https://www.energy.ca.gov/event/workshop/2024-04/2025-senate-bill-100-report-non-energy-benefits-workshop

assumptive metrics. Moreover, if development of benefits tests occur scattered across the various state agencies, different results could arise for the same resource, leading to further confusion, disagreement, or venue shopping.

4) Need for Amendments. This bill seeks an assessment of the full suite of DER benefits, including societal benefits as specified. Such an evaluation aligns with past and current work at state energy agencies, and recognizes that DERs can provide benefit beyond electricity generation, including their speed to get online, load modifications, and potential reduction in needed infrastructure or land use conflicts. However there does not appear to be agreement on which benefits should apply nor their value. As such, it would be premature to prescribe changes to a tariff with undefined criteria. Therefore, the committee recommends amending this bill to require the CPUC to evaluate the impact of nonenergy benefits to both supply and demand side resources, by directing them to work collaboratively with the CEC in their development of nonenergy benefits for future system planning, to incorporate the results of that collaboration into IRP sensitivities and future SB 100 models, and then to evaluate how changes to the NEM tariff would impact both participating and non-participating customers.

5) Related Legislation.

AB 2619 (Connolly) requires the CPUC to develop, by 2027, a new solar tariff to replace the current net billing tariff. Requires that the new tariff be structured to ensure achievement of an annual rate of rooftop solar installation sufficient to meet anticipated need described in the Joint SB 100 Report. Reverts all NBT customer-generators to the prior net energy metering (NEM) tariff, until the new tariff is available in 2027. Status: *set for hearing* in this committee on April 17, 2024.

6) Prior Legislation.

AB 1139 (Lorena Gonzalez) directed the CPUC to adopt a new NEM standard contract or tariff, which the bill defines as the "replacement tariff," by August 1, 2022, and requires an electrical IOU to offer the replacement tariff to an eligible customer-generator by December 31, 2023. If the CPUC fails to act, the CPUC is required to adopt a new tariff under terms prescribed by this bill. Status: Died – Assembly Inactive file.

AB 327 (Perea) instituted several rate reforms and required the CPUC to adopt a successor NEM tariff no later than December 31, 2015. Status: Chapter 611, Statutes of 2013

REGISTERED SUPPORT / OPPOSITION:

Support

350 Bay Area Action 350 Conejo 350 Conejo / San Fernando Valley

350 Humboldt

350 South Bay Los Angeles

350 Southland Legislative Alliance

350 Ventura County Climate Hub

Acterra: Action for A Healthy Planet

Active San Gabriel Valley

Adopt a Charger

Advanced Energy Economy

Alliance of Nurses for Healthy Environments

American Solar Energy Society

Ballona Institute

Ban Sup (single Use Plastic)

California Alliance for Community Energy

California Center for Sustainable Energy

California Climate Voters

California Interfaith Power and Light

California Solar & Storage Association

Californians for Energy Choice

Californians for Western Wilderness

Calpirg

Calpirg Students

Catholic Charities, Diocese of Stockton

Center for Biological Diversity

Center for Biological Diversity, INC.

Center for Community Energy

Center for Progressive Reform

Change Begins With Me (INDIVISIBLE)

Clean Coaliton

Clean Earth 4 Kids

Cleanearth4kids.org

Climate Hawks Vote

Cloverdale Indivisible

Coastal Lands Action Network (CLAN)

Consejo De Federaciones Mexicanas (COFEM)

Contra Costa Moveon

Courageous Resistance of The Desert

Culver City Democratic Club

Custom Power Solar

Defend Ballona Wetlands

East Valley Indivisibles

Elders Climate Action Northern California Chapter

Elders Climate Action Southern California Chapter

Environment CA

Environment California

Environmental Protection Information Center

Environmental Working Group

Episcopal Diocese of Northern California

Extinction Rebellion San Francisco Bay Area

Feminists in Action (formerly Indivisible CA 34 Womens)

Fridays for Future Orange County

Friends Committee on Legislation of California

Glendale Environmental Coaltion

Habitable Designs

Hammond Climate Solutions

Hammond Climate Solutions Foundation

Hang Out Do Good

Hillcrest Indivisible

Indian Valley Indivisibles

Indivisible 36

Indivisible 41

Indivisible 43

Indivisible Alta Pasadena

Indivisible Auburn CA

Indivisible Beach Cities

Indivisible CA 45

Indivisible Ca-25 Simi Valley Porter Ranch

Indivisible California Green Team

Indivisible Claremont / Inland Valley

Indivisible Colusa County

Indivisible East Bay

Indivisible El Dorado Hills

Indivisible Elmwood

Indivisible Euclid

Indivisible Manteca

Indivisible Marin

Indivisible Media City Burbank

Indivisible Mendocino

Indivisible Normal Heights

Indivisible Oc 46

Indivisible Oc 48

Indivisible Petaluma

Indivisible Resistance San Diego

Indivisible Resisters Walnut Creek

Indivisible Ross Valley

Indivisible Sacramento

Indivisible San Diego Central

Indivisible San Jose

Indivisible San Pedro

Indivisible Santa Barbara

Indivisible Santa Cruz County

Indivisible Sausalito

Indivisible Sebastopol

Indivisible Sf

Indivisible Sf Peninsula and Ca-14

Indivisible Sonoma County

Indivisible South Bay LA

Indivisible Stanislaus

Indivisible Ventura

Indivisible Westside L.a.

Indivisible Yolo

Livermore Indivisible

Local Clean Energy Alliance

Long Beach Alliance for Clean Energy

Long Beach Environmental Alliance

Los Angeles Indivisible

Lutheran Office of Public Policy - California

Mill Valley Community Action Network

North County Climate Change Alliance

Orchard City Indivisible

Our Revolution Long Beach

People Power Solar Cooperative

Progressive Democrats of America, California

Progressive Democrats of Santa Monica Mountains

Progressive Zionists of California

Recolte Energy

Rooted in Resistance

Samuel Lawrence Foundation

San Joaquin Valley Democratic Club

San Jose Community Energy Advocates

San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace

Santa Barbara; City of

Santa Cruz Climate Action Network

Santa Monica Democratic Club

Sfv Indivisible

Silicon Valley Youth Climate Action

Socal 350

Socal 350 Climate Action

Solar United Neighbors

Sonoma County Democratic Party

Sunflower Alliance

Sunnova Energy Corporation

Sunrise Movement Orange County

Sustainable Systems Research Foundation

The Climate Alliance of Santa Cruz County

The Climate Center

The Resistance Northridge-indivisible

Together We Will Contra Costa

Tww/indivisible - Los Gatos

UC Santa Cruz Climate Coalition

Usc Schwarzenegger Institute

Valley Women's Club of San Lorenzo Valley

Venice Resistance

Vote Solar

West LA Democratic Club

Womeen's Energy Matters

Women's Alliance Los Angeles Yalla Indivisible

Oppose

California State Association of Electrical Workers
California Wind Energy Association
Coalition of California Utility Employees
Edison International and Affiliates, Including Southern California Edison
Independent Energy Producers Association
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
San Diego Gas and Electric Company
The Climate Reality Project: Silicon Valley
The Utility Reform Network (TURN)

Analysis Prepared by: Laura Shybut / U. & E. / (916) 319-2083